EFFECTIVE AS A FINAL ORDER

DATE: 1 24 2024

FILED

JAN 0 5 2024

BEFORE THE BOARD OF HEALING ARTS OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

KS State Board of Realing Arts

In the Matter of)	24
)	KSBHA Docket No. 28-HA <u>00023</u>
Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D.)	
Kansas License No. 04-41878)	

SUMMARY ORDER

Under K.S.A 77-537 and K.S.A. 77-542, this Summary Order shall become effective as a Final Order, without further notice, if no written request for a hearing is made within 15 days of service. Upon review of the agency record and being duly advised in the premises, the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and order are made for and on behalf of the Board:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D. ("Licensee") is or has been entitled to engage in the practice of medicine and surgery in Kansas, having been issued License No. 04-41878 on February 8, 2019, and having last renewed such license on or about May 15, 2019. Licensee's license to practice medicine and surgery in Kansas is currently Cancelled.
- 2. Licensee's last known mailing address to the Board is: CONFIDENTIAL

 Licensee's last known e-mail address to the Board is:

 CONFIDENTIAL

Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D. License No. 04-41878 Summary Order 3. On or about or about December 2, 2022, Licensee was convicted in the United

States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, of Count 1, Soliciting and

Receiving Remuneration, a felony, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(1). (Bd. Ex. 1: Plea

Agreement).

4.

The factual basis in support of Licensee's guilty plea included conduct that

occurred between 2018 and 2020, the period in which Licensee held a valid, active Kansas license

to practice medicine and surgery. See (Bd. Ex. 1: Plea Agreement).

APPLICABLE LAW

5. Under K.S.A. 65-2836(c), a licensee's license shall be revoked where the licensee

has been convicted of a felony, whether or not related to the practice of the healing arts, unless a

2/3 majority of the board members present and voting determine by clear and convincing evidence

that such licensee will not pose a threat to the public in such person's capacity as a licensee and

that such person has been sufficiently rehabilitated to warrant the public trust.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

6. The Board has jurisdiction over Licensee as well as the subject matter of this

proceeding, and such proceeding is held in the public interest.

7. The Board finds Licensee violated K.S.A. 65-2836(c), in that Licensee has been

convicted of a felony in another jurisdiction.

8. The Board further finds that revocation of Licensee's license is mandatory under

the Kansas Healing Arts Act as no evidence has been presented establishing both (a) that Licensee

will not pose a threat to the public in such person's capacity as a licensee; and (b) that Licensee

has been sufficiently rehabilitated to warrant the public trust.

Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D. License No. 04-41878

Summary Order

Page 2 of 5

9. Based on the facts and circumstances set forth herein, the use of summary

proceedings in this matter is appropriate, in accordance with the provisions set forth in K.S.A. 77-

537(a), in that the use of summary proceedings does not violate any provision of law and the

protection of the public interest does not require the Board to give notice and opportunity to

participate to non-parties.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Licensee's license to practice medicine and surgery in

Kansas is **REVOKED** from the date this Order becomes effective as a Final Order.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon becoming effective as a Final Order, this document

shall be deemed a public record and be reported to any reporting entities authorized to receive such

disclosure.

Dated this 5 day of housing, 2024.

KANSAS STATE BOARD OF HEALING ARTS

Susan Hele

Susan Gile

Executive Director

Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D. License No. 04-41878 Summary Order

FINAL ORDER NOTICE OF RIGHTS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this is a Final Order. A Final Order is effective upon service. A party to an agency proceeding may seek judicial review of a Final Order by filing a petition in the District Court as authorized by K.S.A. 77-601, et seq. Reconsideration of a Final Order is not a prerequisite to judicial review. A petition for judicial review is not timely unless filed within 30 days following service of the Final Order. A copy of any petition for judicial review must be served upon Susan Gile, Executive Director, Kansas Board of Healing Arts, 800 SW Jackson, Lower Level-Suite A, Topeka, KS 66612.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing FINAL ORDER by depositing the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on this 24th day of day of day addressed and emailed to:

Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D. CONFIDENTIAL

And a copy was hand-delivered to:

C. Sebastian Orosco, Associate Litigation Counsel Kansas State Board of Healing Arts 800 SW Jackson, Lower Level-Suite A Topeka, Kansas 66612

Licensing Administrator Kansas State Board of Healing Arts 800 SW Jackson, Lower Level-Suite A Topeka, Kansas 66612

Office of the General Counsel Kansas State Board of Healing Arts 800 SW Jackson, Lower Level-Suite A Topeka, Kansas 66612

And the original was filed with the office of the Executive Director.

Staff Signature

BOARD EXHIBIT #1

Plea Agreement

In the matter of Sean P. O'Rourke, M.D.

Docket No.

Kansas License 04-41878

AF Approval

Chief Approval KW 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

CASE NO. 8:22-cr- 361-JSM-AEP

SEAN PATRICK O'ROURKE

PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c), the United States of America, by Roger B. Handberg, United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant, SEAN PATRICK O'ROURKE, and the attorney for the defendant, Jonathan Rose, mutually agree as follows:

A. Particularized Terms

1. Count Pleading To

The defendant shall enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the Information. Count One charges the defendant with soliciting and receiving remuneration (a kickback and bribe), in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(1).

2. Maximum Penalties

Count One carries a maximum sentence of ten years' imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000, a term of supervised release of not more than three years, and a special assessment of \$100 per felony count. With respect to certain offenses, the Court shall order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense, and

with respect to other offenses, the Court may order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense, or to the community, as set forth below.

3. <u>Elements of the Offense</u>

The defendant acknowledges understanding the nature and elements of the offense with which defendant has been charged and to which defendant is pleading guilty. The elements of Count One are:

First: The defendant received any remuneration (including any

kickback or bribe) directly or indirectly, openly or secretly,

in cash or in kind;

Second: The defendant received remuneration that was given at

least in part in return for purchasing, ordering, arranging for, or recommending purchasing or ordering of a good, service, or item that could be paid for, in whole or in part,

by Medicare; and

Third: The defendant did so knowingly and willfully.

4. Indictment Waiver

Defendant will waive the right to be charged by way of indictment before a federal grand jury.

5. No Further Charges

If the Court accepts this plea agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Florida agrees not to charge defendant with committing any other federal criminal offenses known to the United States Attorney's Office at the time of the execution of this agreement, related to the conduct giving rise to this agreement.

6. Mandatory Restitution to Victim of Offense of Conviction

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(a) and (b), defendant agrees to make full restitution to the Medicare program, the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Department of Veterans Affairs ("CHAMPVA") (together, the "Government health care programs"), and any other victims as determined by the Court, though the parties estimate the approximate amount of restitution due the Government health care programs to be at least approximately \$2,702,150. If, for any reason, no restitution is determined to be due to the Government health care programs under 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(a) and (b), the defendant, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(3), voluntarily agrees to pay \$2,702,150 in restitution to those Government health care programs.

7. Guidelines Sentence

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), the United States will recommend to the Court that the defendant be sentenced within the defendant's applicable guidelines range as determined by the Court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, as adjusted by any departure the United States has agreed to recommend in this plea agreement. The parties understand that such a recommendation is not binding on the Court and that, if it is not accepted by this Court, neither the United States nor the defendant will be allowed to withdraw from the plea agreement, and the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw from the plea of guilty.

8. Acceptance of Responsibility - Three Levels

At the time of sentencing, and in the event that no adverse information is received suggesting such a recommendation to be unwarranted, the United States will not oppose the defendant's request to the Court that the defendant receive a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a). The defendant understands that this recommendation or request is not binding on the Court, and if not accepted by the Court, the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw from the plea.

Further, at the time of sentencing, if the defendant's offense level prior to operation of subsection (a) is level 16 or greater, and if the defendant complies with the provisions of USSG § 3E1.1(b) and all terms of this Plea Agreement, including but not limited to, the timely submission of the financial affidavit referenced in Paragraph B.5., the United States agrees to file a motion pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(b) for a downward adjustment of one additional level. The defendant understands that the determination as to whether the defendant has qualified for a downward adjustment of a third level for acceptance of responsibility rests solely with the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant agrees that the defendant cannot and will not challenge that determination, whether by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

9. Cooperation - Substantial Assistance to be Considered

Defendant agrees to cooperate fully with the United States in the investigation and prosecution of other persons, and to testify, subject to a prosecution

for perjury or making a false statement, fully and truthfully before any federal court proceeding or federal grand jury in connection with the charges in this case and other matters, such cooperation to further include a full and complete disclosure of all relevant information, including production of any and all books, papers, documents, and other objects in defendant's possession or control, and to be reasonably available for interviews which the United States may require. If the cooperation is completed prior to sentencing, the government agrees to consider whether such cooperation qualifies as "substantial assistance" in accordance with the policy of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, warranting the filing of a motion at the time of sentencing recommending (1) a downward departure from the applicable guideline range pursuant to USSG §5K1.1, or (2) the imposition of a sentence below a statutory minimum, if any, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e), or (3) both. If the cooperation is completed subsequent to sentencing, the government agrees to consider whether such cooperation qualifies as "substantial assistance" in accordance with the policy of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, warranting the filing of a motion for a reduction of sentence within one year of the imposition of sentence pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 35(b). In any case, the defendant understands that the determination as to whether "substantial assistance" has been provided or what type of motion related thereto will be filed, if any, rests solely with the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant agrees that defendant cannot and will not challenge that determination, whether by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

10. Use of Information - Section 1B1.8

Pursuant to USSG §1B1.8(a), the United States agrees that no self-incriminating information which the defendant may provide during the course of defendant's cooperation and pursuant to this agreement shall be used in determining the applicable sentencing guideline range, subject to the restrictions and limitations set forth in USSG §1B1.8(b).

11. Cooperation - Responsibilities of Parties

- a. The government will make known to the Court and other relevant authorities the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation and any other mitigating circumstances indicative of the defendant's rehabilitative intent by assuming the fundamental civic duty of reporting crime. However, the defendant understands that the government can make no representation that the Court will impose a lesser sentence solely on account of, or in consideration of, such cooperation.
- b. It is understood that should the defendant knowingly provide incomplete or untruthful testimony, statements, or information pursuant to this agreement, or should the defendant falsely implicate or incriminate any person, or should the defendant fail to voluntarily and unreservedly disclose and provide full, complete, truthful, and honest knowledge, information, and cooperation regarding any of the matters noted herein, the following conditions shall apply:

- (1) The defendant may be prosecuted for any perjury or false declarations, if any, committed while testifying pursuant to this agreement, or for obstruction of justice.
- **(2)** The United States may prosecute the defendant for the charges which are to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement, if any, and may either seek reinstatement of or refile such charges and prosecute the defendant thereon in the event such charges have been dismissed pursuant to this agreement. With regard to such charges, if any, which have been dismissed, the defendant, being fully aware of the nature of all such charges now pending in the instant case, and being further aware of defendant's rights, as to all felony charges pending in such cases (those offenses punishable by imprisonment for a term of over one year), to not be held to answer to said felony charges unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, and further being aware that all such felony charges in the instant case have heretofore properly been returned by the indictment of a grand jury, does hereby agree to reinstatement of such charges by recission of any order dismissing them or, alternatively, does hereby waive, in open court, prosecution by indictment and consents that the United States may proceed by information instead of by indictment with regard to any felony charges which may be dismissed in the instant case, pursuant to this plea agreement, and the defendant further agrees to waive the statute of limitations and any speedy trial claims on such charges.
- (3) The United States may prosecute the defendant for any offenses set forth herein, if any, the prosecution of which in accordance with this

agreement, the United States agrees to forego, and the defendant agrees to waive the statute of limitations and any speedy trial claims as to any such offenses.

- (4) The government may use against the defendant the defendant's own admissions and statements and the information and books, papers, documents, and objects that the defendant has furnished in the course of the defendant's cooperation with the government.
- (5) The defendant will not be permitted to withdraw the guilty pleas to those counts to which defendant hereby agrees to plead in the instant case but, in that event, defendant will be entitled to the sentencing limitations, if any, set forth in this plea agreement, with regard to those counts to which the defendant has pled; or in the alternative, at the option of the United States, the United States may move the Court to declare this entire plea agreement null and void.

12. Forfeiture of Assets

The defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States immediately and voluntarily any and all assets and property, or portions thereof, subject to forfeiture, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7), whether in the possession or control of the United States, the defendant or defendant's nominees. The assets to be forfeited specifically include, but are not limited to, approximately \$31,075 in proceeds the defendant admits he obtained, as the result of the commission of the offense to which the defendant is pleading guilty. The defendant acknowledges and agrees that: (1) the defendant obtained this amount as a result of the commission of the offense, and (2) as a result of the acts and omissions of the defendant, the proceeds have been

transferred to third parties and cannot be located by the United States upon the exercise of due diligence. Therefore, the defendant agrees that, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), the United States is entitled to forfeit any other property of the defendant (substitute assets), up to the amount of proceeds the defendant obtained, as the result of the offense(s) of conviction. The defendant further consents to, and agrees not to oppose, any motion for substitute assets filed by the United States up to the amount of proceeds obtained from commission of the offense and consents to the entry of the forfeiture order into the Treasury Offset Program. The defendant agrees that forfeiture of substitute assets as authorized herein shall not be deemed an alteration of the defendant's sentence.

The defendant additionally agrees that since the criminal proceeds have been transferred to third parties and cannot be located by the United States upon the exercise of due diligence, the preliminary and final orders of forfeiture should authorize the United States Attorney's Office to conduct discovery (including depositions, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, and the issuance of subpoenas), pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to help identify, locate, and forfeit substitute assets.

The defendant also agrees to waive all constitutional, statutory, and procedural challenges (including direct appeal, habeas corpus, or any other means) to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this Plea Agreement on any grounds, including that the forfeiture described herein constitutes an excessive fine, was not

properly noticed in the charging instrument, addressed by the Court at the time of the guilty plea, announced at sentencing, or incorporated into the judgment.

The defendant admits and agrees that the conduct described in the Factual Basis below provides a sufficient factual and statutory basis for the forfeiture of the property sought by the government. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(4), the defendant agrees that the preliminary order of forfeiture will satisfy the notice requirement and will be final as to the defendant at the time it is entered. In the event the forfeiture is omitted from the judgment, the defendant agrees that the forfeiture order may be incorporated into the written judgment at any time pursuant to Rule 36.

The defendant agrees to take all steps necessary to identify and locate all substitute assets and to transfer custody of such assets to the United States before the defendant's sentencing. To that end, the defendant agrees to make a full and complete disclosure of all assets over which defendant exercises control, including all assets held by nominees, to execute any documents requested by the United States to obtain from any other parties by lawful means any records of assets owned by the defendant, and to consent to the release of the defendant's tax returns for the previous five years. The defendant agrees to be interviewed by the government, prior to and after sentencing, regarding such assets. The defendant further agrees to be polygraphed on the issue of assets, if it is deemed necessary by the United States. The defendant agrees that Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 and USSG § 1B1.8

will not protect from forfeiture assets disclosed by the defendant as part of the defendant's cooperation.

The defendant agrees to take all steps necessary to assist the government in obtaining clear title to any substitute assets before the defendant's sentencing. In addition to providing full and complete information about substitute assets, these steps include, but are not limited to, the surrender of title, the signing of a consent decree of forfeiture, and signing of any other documents necessary to effectuate such transfers.

Forfeiture of the defendant's assets shall not be treated as satisfaction of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty the Court may impose upon the defendant in addition to forfeiture.

The defendant agrees that, in the event the Court determines that the defendant has breached this section of the Plea Agreement, the defendant may be found ineligible for a reduction in the Guidelines calculation for acceptance of responsibility and substantial assistance, and may be eligible for an obstruction of justice enhancement.

The defendant agrees that the forfeiture provisions of this plea agreement are intended to, and will, survive the defendant, notwithstanding the abatement of any underlying criminal conviction after the execution of this agreement. The forfeitability of any particular property pursuant to this agreement shall be determined as if the defendant had survived, and that determination shall be binding

upon defendant's heirs, successors and assigns until the agreed forfeiture, including the forfeiture of any substitute assets, is final.

B. Standard Terms and Conditions

1. Restitution, Special Assessment and Fine

The defendant understands and agrees that the Court, in addition to or in lieu of any other penalty, shall order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A, for all offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3663A(c)(1); and the Court may order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663, including restitution as to all counts charged, whether or not the defendant enters a plea of guilty to such counts, and whether or not such counts are dismissed pursuant to this agreement. The defendant further understands that compliance with any restitution payment plan imposed by the Court in no way precludes the United States from simultaneously pursuing other statutory remedies for collecting restitution (28 U.S.C. § 3003(b)(2)), including, but not limited to, garnishment and execution, pursuant to the Mandatory Victims Restitution Act, in order to ensure that the defendant's restitution obligation is satisfied.

On each count to which a plea of guilty is entered, the Court shall impose a special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013. To ensure that this obligation is satisfied, the Defendant agrees to deliver a cashier's check, certified check or money order to the Clerk of the Court in the amount of \$100, payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court" within ten days of the change of plea nearing.

The defendant understands that this agreement imposes no limitation as to fine.

2. Supervised Release

The defendant understands that the offense to which the defendant is pleading provides for imposition of a term of supervised release upon release from imprisonment, and that, if the defendant should violate the conditions of release, the defendant would be subject to a further term of imprisonment.

3. <u>Immigration Consequences of Pleading Guilty</u>

The defendant has been advised and understands that, upon conviction, a defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

4. Sentencing Information

The United States reserves its right and obligation to report to the Court and the United States Probation Office all information concerning the background, character, and conduct of the defendant, to provide relevant factual information, including the totality of the defendant's criminal activities, if any, not limited to the count(s) to which defendant pleads, to respond to comments made by the defendant or defendant's counsel, and to correct any misstatements or inaccuracies. The United States further reserves its right to make any recommendations it deems appropriate regarding the disposition of this case, subject to any limitations set forth herein, if any.

5. Financial Disclosures

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(d)(3) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(d)(2)(A)(ii), the defendant agrees to complete and submit to the United States Attorney's Office within 30 days of execution of this agreement an affidavit reflecting the defendant's financial condition. The defendant promises that his financial statement and disclosures will be complete, accurate and truthful and will include all assets in which he has any interest or over which the defendant exercises control, directly or indirectly, including those held by a spouse, dependent, nominee or other third party. The defendant further agrees to execute any documents requested by the United States needed to obtain from any third parties any records of assets owned by the defendant, directly or through a nominee, and, by the execution of this Plea Agreement, consents to the release of the defendant's tax returns for the previous five years. The defendant similarly agrees and authorizes the United States Attorney's Office to provide to, and obtain from, the United States Probation Office, the financial affidavit, any of the defendant's federal, state, and local tax returns, bank records and any other financial information concerning the defendant, for the purpose of making any recommendations to the Court and for collecting any assessments, fines, restitution, or forfeiture ordered by the Court. The defendant expressly authorizes the United States Attorney's Office to obtain current credit reports in order to evaluate the defendant's ability to satisfy any financial obligation imposed by the Court.

6. Sentencing Recommendations

It is understood by the parties that the Court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement. The Court may accept or reject the agreement, or defer a decision until it has had an opportunity to consider the presentence report prepared by the United States Probation Office. The defendant understands and acknowledges that, although the parties are permitted to make recommendations and present arguments to the Court, the sentence will be determined solely by the Court, with the assistance of the United States Probation Office. Defendant further understands and acknowledges that any discussions between defendant or defendant's attorney and the attorney or other agents for the government regarding any recommendations by the government are not binding on the Court and that, should any recommendations be rejected, defendant will not be permitted to withdraw defendant's plea pursuant to this plea agreement. The government expressly reserves the right to support and defend any decision that the Court may make with regard to the defendant's sentence, whether or not such decision is consistent with the government's recommendations contained herein.

7. Defendant's Waiver of Right to Appeal the Sentence

The defendant agrees that this Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence up to the statutory maximum and expressly waives the right to appeal defendant's sentence on any ground, including the ground that the Court erred in determining the applicable guidelines range pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, except (a) the ground that the sentence exceeds the

defendant's applicable guidelines range as determined by the Court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines; (b) the ground that the sentence exceeds the statutory maximum penalty; or (c) the ground that the sentence violates the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution; provided, however, that if the government exercises its right to appeal the sentence imposed, as authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3742(b), then the defendant is released from his waiver and may appeal the sentence as authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3742(a).

8. Middle District of Florida Agreement

It is further understood that this agreement is limited to the Office of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida and cannot bind other federal, state, or local prosecuting authorities, although this office will bring defendant's cooperation, if any, to the attention of other prosecuting officers or others, if requested.

9. Filing of Agreement

This agreement shall be presented to the Court, in open court or in camera, in whole or in part, upon a showing of good cause, and filed in this cause, at the time of defendant's entry of a plea of guilty pursuant hereto.

10. Voluntariness

The defendant acknowledges that defendant is entering into this agreement and is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily without reliance upon any discussions between the attorney for the government and the defendant and defendant's attorney and without promise of benefit of any kind (other than the

concessions contained herein), and without threats, force, intimidation, or coercion of any kind. The defendant further acknowledges defendant's understanding of the nature of the offense or offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and the elements thereof, including the penalties provided by law, and defendant's complete satisfaction with the representation and advice received from defendant's undersigned counsel (if any). The defendant also understands that defendant has the right to plead not guilty or to persist in that plea if it has already been made, and that defendant has the right to be tried by a jury with the assistance of counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against defendant, the right against compulsory self-incrimination, and the right to compulsory process for the attendance of witnesses to testify in defendant's defense; but, by pleading guilty, defendant waives or gives up those rights and there will be no trial. The defendant further understands that if defendant pleads guilty, the Court may ask defendant questions about the offense or offenses to which defendant pleaded, and if defendant answers those questions under oath, on the record, and in the presence of counsel (if any), defendant's answers may later be used against defendant in a prosecution for perjury or false statement. The defendant also understands that defendant will be adjudicated guilty of the offenses to which defendant has pleaded and, if any of such offenses are felonies, may thereby be deprived of certain rights, such as the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve on a jury, or to have possession of firearms.

11. Factual Basis

Defendant is pleading guilty because defendant is in fact guilty. The defendant certifies that defendant does hereby admit that the facts set forth below are true, and were this case to go to trial, the United States would be able to prove those specific facts and others beyond a reasonable doubt.

FACTS

At times material to the allegations in Count One of the Information in this case:

The Medicare Program

The Medicare Program ("Medicare") was a federal health care benefit program that provided items and services to individuals who were age 65 or older, had certain disabilities, or had end-stage renal disease. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") was an agency of the United States' Department of Health and Human Services, and was the federal government body responsible for the administration of Medicare. Medicare programs covered different types of benefits that were separated into different program parts. Medicare Part B covered, among other things, doctors' services, outpatient care, and certain medically necessary medical equipment.

Cancer genomic ("CGx") testing used DNA sequencing to detect mutations in genes that could indicate a higher risk of developing certain types of cancers in the future. CGx testing was not a method of diagnosing whether an individual presently had cancer. Medicare did not cover diagnostic testing that was

not reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member. Except for certain statutory exceptions, Medicare did not cover examinations performed for a purpose other than treatment or diagnosis of a specific illness, symptoms, complaint or injury. Among the statutory exceptions Medicare covered were cancer screening tests such as screening mammography, colorectal cancer screening tests, screening pelvic exams, and prostate cancer screening tests.

If diagnostic testing were necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member, Medicare imposed additional requirements before covering the testing. In that regard, Medicare required that all diagnostic x-ray tests, diagnostic laboratory tests, and other diagnostic tests be ordered by the physician who was treating the beneficiary, that is, the physician who furnished a consultation or treated a beneficiary for a specific medical problem and who used the results in the management of the beneficiary's specific medical problem. Tests not ordered by the physician who was treating the beneficiary were deemed not to be reasonable and becessary.

Durable medical equipment ("DME") was reusable medical equipment such as orthotic devices, walkers, canes, or hospital beds. Orthotic devices were a type of DME that included knee braces, back braces, shoulder braces, and wrist braces (collectively, "braces"). Medicare reimbursed DME providers and other healthcare providers for medically necessary items and services rendered to

beneficiaries. To receive payment from Medicare, providers submitted, or caused to be submitted, claims to Medicare, either directly or through a billing company.

Medicare would pay a claim for the provision of DME only if the equipment was medically necessary, ordered by a licensed provider, and actually provided to the beneficiary.

Medicare claims were required to be properly documented in accordance with Medicare rules and regulations. Medicare would not reimburse providers for claims that were procured through the payment of kickbacks and bribes. Additional aspects of Medicare are described in the Information in paragraphs 4 through 16.

The CHAMPVA Program

CHAMPVA, another federal health benefit program, was a comprehensive health care program in which the VA shared the cost of covered health care services and supplies with eligible beneficiaries. In general, the CHAMPVA program covered most health care services and supplies that were medically necessary. CHAMPVA was always the secondary payer to Medicare and reimbursed beneficiaries for costs that Medicare did not cover. Health care claims must have first been sent to Medicare for processing; Medicare would then electronically forward claims to CHAMPVA. For Medicare supplemental plans, CHAMPVA processed the remaining portion of the claim after receiving Medicare's explanation of benefits. Additional information about CHAMPVA is set forth in the Information in paragraph 1...

Defendant's Initials 50

The Illegal Kickback Agreement

The defendant, Sean Patrick O'Rourke ("O'ROURKE"), resided in CONFIDENTIAL, where he worked as a licensed medical doctor. In 2004 and again in 2014, O'ROURKE signed and caused to be submitted to the Medicare program ("Medicare") a Medicare Enrollment Application CMS-855I for physicians and non-physician practitioners certifying, in pertinent part, that: (a) he would abide by applicable Medicare laws, regulations, and program instructions; and (b) he would not knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claims for payment by Medicare, and would not submit claims with deliberate ignorance or reckless disregard of their truth or falsity. O'ROURKE was thereafter enrolled in Medicare as a physician provider.

Company #1 was a Nevada-based limited liability company that purported to offer legitimate telemedicine services. In that regard, Company #1 purported to arrange for the provision of medical services to "individual members of organizations, referred to as [Company #1] Members, located in various U.S. states (the "Members"), which [had] contracted for such medical services, through a network of independent licensed doctors or medical practices and technological interfacing (the "Program")[.]" In truth, Company #1 was not engaged in the legitimate provision of telemedicine services to its Members but, in pertinent part, was instead engaged in the illegal business of offering and paying remuneration (kickbacks and bribes) to physicians to induce said physicians to order and/or

recommend the ordering of medical services and items to be provided to patientbeneficiaries of Government health care programs, typically Medicare.

In or around August or September 2018, the defendant entered into an agreement with Company #1 in which it was anticipated that Company #1 would make available completed doctors' orders for Government health care program patient-beneficiaries to the defendant via an online internet platform. The defendant would then access the online platform, open the completed doctors' orders, and electronically sign the orders, in exchange for a payment of \$25 per patient-beneficiary. Payment was to be made by Company #1 to the defendant each month for the total number of patient-beneficiaries addressed. Notably, the system platform did not permit the ordering doctor to add or modify any information in the already completed doctors' orders other than to input the doctors' authorizing electronic signature.

The pertinent doctors' orders included the individual patient-beneficiaries' personal identification and health-related information and ordered certain medical services and items to be provided to the patients. The medical services and items most commonly ordered included CGx tests using DNA sequencing to detect mutations in genes that could indicate a higher risk of developing certain types of cancers in the future and/or DME, such as off-the-shelf orthotic braces. As just one example, in or around May 2019, the defendant received a payment of \$5,500 via a check issued from an entity associated with Company #1 for electronically signing and ordering CGx tests and/or DME for Medicare patient-

beneficiaries. The defendant had no interaction with any of the Medicare patientbeneficiaries prior to ordering the CGx tests and/or DME.

From in or about September 2018 through March 2020, pursuant to the above illegal agreement between Company #1 and the defendant, the defendant ordered CGx tests and DME for approximately 1,243 Medicare beneficiaries for which the defendant was paid by Company #1 approximately \$31,075 (\$25 per individual beneficiary file). Consequently, the Medicare program paid approximately \$2,702,150 to various Medicare providers for the CGx tests and DME ordered by the defendant.

12. Entire Agreement

This plea agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the government and the defendant with respect to the aforementioned guilty plea and no other promises, agreements, or representations exist or have been made to the defendant or defendant's attorney with regard to such guilty plea.

13. Certification

The defendant and defendant's counsel certify that this plea agreement has been read in its entirety by (or has been read to) the defendant and that defendant fully understands its terms.

DATED this day of , 2022.

Sean Patrick O'Rourke

Defendant

Jonathad Rose

Attorney for Defendant

Jay G. Trozevant

Assistant United States Attorney

Rachelle DesVaux Bedke

ROGER B. HANDBERG United States Atterney

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Economic Crimes Section